

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> </ul>
<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>There are not enough green spaces as it is. No green belt should be used for housing or other development in any of the areas in Greater Manchester. It should be a priority that all existing green belt be preserved. The proposed additional green belt is tiny. People need homes and infrastructure but not at the expense of green spaces where brownfield sites are available. Developers should be encouraged to use all sites particularly small sites which have become derelict or run down as well as old mills and unused warehousing sites. Residential housing can then be developed in keeping with existing infrastructure and upgrade areas that have become run down. Multiple small brownfield sites should be developed before digging up a green field just because that is easier and cheaper for developers and councils. Any new property should should only be allowed if designed with energy saving and environmentally friendly features.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>There are not enough green spaces as it is. No green belt should be used for housing or other development in any of the areas in Greater Manchester. It should be a priority that all existing green belt be preserved. The proposed additional green belt is tiny. People need homes and infrastructure but not at the expense of green spaces where brownfield sites are available. Developers should be encouraged to use all sites particularly small sites which have become derelict or run down as well as old mills and unused warehousing sites. Residential housing can then be developed in keeping with existing infrastructure and upgrade areas that have become run down. Multiple small brownfield sites should be developed before digging up a green field just because that is easier and cheaper for developers and councils. Any new property should should only be allowed if designed with energy saving and environmentally friendly features.</p> <p>In Bowlee and Simister the green spaces are fundamental to the character of the area and public access to outdoors and nature...An essential component of health and wellbeing not just for local residents but for all.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	JPA 2: Stakehill
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Radcliffe
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286862
<b>Title</b>	Supporting Evidence
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There are not enough green spaces as it is. No green belt should be used for housing or other development in any of the areas in Greater Manchester. It should be a priority that all existing green belt be preserved. The proposed additional green belt is tiny. People need homes and infrastructure but not at the expense of green spaces where brownfield sites are available. Developers should be encouraged to use all sites particularly small sites which have become derelict or run down as well as old mills and unused warehousing sites. Residential housing can then be developed in keeping with existing infrastructure and upgrade areas that have become run down. Multiple small brownfield sites should be developed before digging up a green field just because that is easier and cheaper for developers and councils. Any new property should should only be allowed if designed with energy saving and environmentally friendly features.</p>